

TO:

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 205 SECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 2 7 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

1am writing to you today io comment on Dockrr No. 02-277 The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership ruler. I believe that rhe Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market—can only he served by retaining all of the current media ownership rules now under review. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already buge companies in the broadcast industry.

I do nor believe that the studies commissioned by rhe FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity While three may he indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy; rhc founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If FCC policy changes to allow national media "marker share" to he concentrared nmong still fewer "competitors," rhe public'r ahiliry to have open, informed discussion wirh a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

Istrongly urge the FCC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Kichmond. New York, Seattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around rhe nation. I think ii is important tor the FCC to consider not only rhe points of virw of those wirh a financial interest in rhis issue, bur also rhose with a social or civic interest.

Thank you,